

**A contrast between the original pitch for vouchers and the current voucher scheme.**

The voucher advocates' ploy of using disadvantaged students to gain a foothold in education policy took place in Ohio in the mid-1990's. The disingenuous, lamentable cry on behalf of the poor kids for voucher eligibility still echoes down the haunts of so-called education reform. The despicable, initial tactic of an education promised land for the disadvantaged is revealed in the current version of the voucher industry.

An affluent Ohio school district had less than 10 EdChoice voucher students prior to fiscal year 2024. Now, the district has over 83 EdChoice voucher students.

This district has 2500 students. 81.39% of them are white, compared to the state average of 82.35%; 8.6% are disadvantaged, compared to the state average of 43.8%; 0.83% are English learners, compared to the state average of 3.52%.

The residents of this school district has an average income of \$242,031.58 compared to the state average of \$77,775.69.

The State Report Card of the district shines in that it displays five stars (highest rating) in all but one category.

The graduation rate is nearly 100%. Promotion to fourth grade is 100%.

It is heartening that the State, via the voucher scheme, is rescuing these voucher students from this kind of district—NOT!